

SWALIM

GCP/SOM/045/EC

Management Response Matrix								
Short-term Recommendations	Further donor funding required (yes or no)	Acceptance by Management			Comment on the Recommendation	Action to be taken		
		Accept	Partially Accept	Reject		Action	Timing	Unit Responsible
8.1.1 SWALIM Liaison Offices in Somalia: - to assume more responsibility; - to act as data collection interfaces; - to focus initially on a few simple applications;	No	Yes			Accepted for the Hargeisa office. For the other two offices in Center-South the implementation is subject to security condition and local authorities cooperation.	Implicit in the recommendation	On going for Hargeisa. When security permits in the Center-South.	SWALIM
8.1.2 Inter Ministerial Coordination Committees (IMCC) - to meet on a more regular basis and identify priority applications; - to promote SWALIM working groups.	No		Yes		IMCCs will be requested to provide priorities and be more pro-active in promoting SWALIM activities. Representation of the various regions is a sensitive political issue, because TFG does not accept Somaliland representatives as equal partners.	Further discuss the issue at the Steering Committee meeting	Next Steering Committee meeting	Steering Committee
8.1.3 Monitor: -impact on target populations; -amount of information requested and time spent on providing assistance. Systematically inform PSC on monitoring figures.	No	Yes					First monitoring information to be ready by the end of September 2008	SWALIM
8.1.4 Focus should shift towards the users.	No		Yes		Agreed in principle, but: - Most potential future users (government institutions) have not been established yet. - Users current demand for information is biased by the need of project level design	Identify and train potential users.	First identification of users and preparation of related training programmes,	SWALIM

					(feasibility studies, engineering designs, etc) instead of information for planning.		to be ready by September 2008	
8.2.1 Training Activities should be clearly aimed at transferring SWALIM functions to Somali institutions.	No	Yes			Agreed in principle but, as mentioned above, most Somali institutions have not been established yet.	Identify simple applications and prepare training programmes for existing institutions.	First identification was ready in May 2008.	SWALIM
8.2.2 SWALIM should carry out an analysis of different categories of users and of the information products they require.	No	Yes			In total agreement	Start identifying and categorizing users in relation to simple applications.	First identification to be ready by September 2008.	SWALIM
8.2.3 Improving effective linkages and partnerships with existing institutions and projects.	No	Yes			This has been done mainly on request of the EC and FAO technical services. However FAO Somalia and other FAO Somalia Projects have been only marginally involved.	Consult FAO Somalia.	First list of partners and partnership activities to be prepared by September 2008.	SWALIM/ FAO Somalia
8.3.1 The terms of reference of the PTF must be clearly defined specifically for SWALIM. A Technical backstopping plan of activities must be defined.	No	Yes			Agreed	Draft TOR for the PTF and plan of activity to be submitted in the next meeting of the PSC.	September 2008.	TCES in consultation with PTF members and SWALIM
8.3.2 SWALIM organisation and structure should reflect: - Core support services; - Facilitation services for Liaison Offices; - Operational units dealing with specific themes and applications.	No		Yes		The approved organisational structure for SWALIM III is working very well. The suggestion in the evaluation report is a mixture of organisational charts and other functions. It would be difficult to change the structure in the middle of the project.	Adjustment could be made depending on operational needs.		SWALIM
8.3.3 Products associated with meta information for users informing how the appropriate use of the product should be made. This includes also technical clearance by PTF members.	No	Yes			General practice about publishing metadata was followed. Some misunderstanding occurred on technical clearance but all products have been finally technically cleared by the concerned technical services.	More attention and more time will be given to technical clearance in the future.		SWALIM
8.3.4 Separate entity of the River	No	Yes			24% of the RM project budget is finalized	A full time staff	1 July 2008	SWALIM

Management Project.					to barrage rehabilitation, 76% is finalized to the same objectives of SWALIM and can be considered as an additional budget for the SWALIM project. The inter-related information management activities of the RM project (telemetric stations, flood forecasting, flood hydrology, river hydraulics have been fully integrated into SWALIM III. This does not concern barrage rehabilitation activities.	member has been employed for the barrage rehabilitation. Other RM activities (76%) are completely information management tasks.		
---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--